UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
ERIC ATANASOFF, Derivatively on Behalf of	
CLEANSPARK, INC., Plaintiff,	Case No.: 2:23-cv-00358-ART-BNW
V.	(Removal from District Court, Clark County,
ZACHARY K BRADFORD	Nevada, Case No. A-23-866492-C)
S. MATTHEW SCHULTZ, ROGER P.	
L. WOOD,	ORDER APPROVING
Defendants,	STIPULATION TO RESET
-and-	BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR MOTION TO DISMISS
	NOTO TO BIOMISS
_	
Nominal Defendant.	
Plaintiff Eric Atanasoff ("Plaintiff"), derivatively on behalf of Nominal Plaintiff	
CleanSpark, Inc. ("CleanSpark") and Defendants Zachary K. Bradford, S. Matthew Schultz	
Larry McNeill, Thomas L. Wood, Roger P. Beynon (the "Individual Defendants"), and Nomina	
Defendant CleanSpark (together with the Individual Defendants, "Defendants") (collectively	
with Plaintiff, the "Parties"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby enter into the	
following stipulation and proposed order:	
WHEREAS, on April 25, 2023 the C	Court granted the Stipulation to Set Briefing
	ERIC ATANASOFF, Derivatively on Behalf of CLEANSPARK, INC., Plaintiff, v. ZACHARY K. BRADFORD, S. MATTHEW SCHULTZ, ROGER P. BEYNON, LARRY MCNEILL, and THOMAS L. WOOD, Defendants, -and- CLEANSPARK, INC., a Nevada Corporation, Nominal Defendant. Plaintiff Eric Atanasoff ("Plaintiff"), CleanSpark, Inc. ("CleanSpark") and Defendant Larry McNeill, Thomas L. Wood, Roger P. Beyr Defendant CleanSpark (together with the Indiv with Plaintiff, the "Parties"), by and through the following stipulation and proposed order:

Case 2:23-cv-00358-ART-BNW Document 33 Filed 06/05/23 Page 1 of 3

WHEREAS, on May 5, 2023, Defendants filed their Motion to Dismiss or, in the

Schedule for Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 21)(the "Scheduling Order");

27

28

1	Alternative, to Stay the Action (the "Motion to Dismiss") in accordance with the Scheduling		
2	Order; and		
3	WHEREAS, pursuant to the Scheduling Order, Plaintiff's deadline to oppose the Motion		
4	to Dismiss is currently June 5, 2023; and		
5	WHEREAS, pursuant to the Scheduling Order, Defendants' deadline to reply in support		
6	of the Motion to Dismiss is currently June 26, 2023		
7	WHEREAS, the parties now seek a short additional extension for both Plaintiff's		
8	opposition and Defendants' subsequent reply.		
9	NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by the Parties		
10	hereto, through their undersigned counsel, subject to the approval of the Court, as follows:		
11	1. Plaintiff's deadline to oppose the Motion to Dismiss is extended until on or before		
12	June 12, 2023.		
13	2. Defendants' deadline to reply in support of the Motion to Dismiss is extended		
14	until on or before July 7, 2023.	until on or before July 7, 2023.	
15	3. Other than as agreed herein, the Parties res	erve all rights.	
16	16		
17	DATED: June 1, 2023 DATED	: June 1, 2023	
18	18 FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP ALDRI	CH LAW FIRM, LTD.	
19		erine Hernandez	
20	20 MARK J. CONNOT (10010) JOHN F	2. ALDRICH (6877)	
21	21 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Ste. 700 7866 W	RINE HERNANDEZ (8410) est Sahara Avenue	
22		as, Nevada 89117 @johnaldrichlawfirm.com	
23		dez@johnaldrichlawfirm.com for Plaintiff	
24		y = 1	
25	25		
26	26		
27	27		
28	28		

IT IS SO ORDERED. Named Ru Anne R. Traum United States District Court Judge DATED: <u>June 5, 2023</u>